



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/780,602                                      | 02/19/2004           | Hiroshi Hasegawa     | 040064                  | 3179             |
| 23850   | 7590 06/14/2005      |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP |                      |                      | KAPADIA, VARSHA A       |                  |
| 1725 K STRE<br>SUITE 1000                       | •                    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGT  | WASHINGTON, DC 20006 |                      |                         |                  |
| •   |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/780,602  | HASEGAWA ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Varsha A. Kapadia   | 2651  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period we - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed<br>will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>() (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe  | bruary 2004.  |   |  |  |  |
|   | ·   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan   | ) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | te  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/04.   | 6) Other:   | atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

## **Priority Papers**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 22, 2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tamura et al (6,570,727 also submitted by the applicant).

Application/Control Number: 10/780,602

Art Unit: 2651

With regards to claim 1, Tamura et al discloses a data erasing apparatus (see fig. 9 and disclosure thereof) for erasing data recorded on a disk contained in a disk drive (See fig.6 and disclosure thereof), comprising: magnetic field generating means (See element 920 in fig.9 and disclosure thereof), having a space for accommodating the entire disk drive, for applying a magnetic field as claimed; and a moving mechanism (see elements 910,912,914,916 in fig.9 and disclosure thereof) as recited in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 differs from the prior art of record by specifically reciting in the data erasing apparatus a moving mechanism that includes a converting mechanism for converting rotational motion into translational motion, to overcome the attraction force.

### **Prior Art Cited**

Reference to Serizawa (6,594,099) cited as of interest.

Reference to Kitahori et al (2002/0021521) cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Varsha A. Kapadia whose telephone number is (571)272-7557. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Tue and Thurs. from 6:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571 272 7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/780,602

Art Unit: 2651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VK

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600